

## REMARKS

Claims 51-62, and 71-73 are presently pending. Claims 1-50 and 63-70 are cancelled without prejudice.

Claims 51, was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Watts and as anticipated by Kapur.

Watts teaches that, “By linking one or more row attribute bytes, or pointers, to each row of characters stored in the memory the display controller performs character and row manipulation on a display device without transferring whole blocks of data in the display memory.” Watts, Abstract.

Examiner has indicated that Watts teaches “deleting pixels/lines (blanking out one or more pixels) and scrolling/moving are achieved by merely modifying the pointers RA and LA to indicate the memory location of the next desired row of characters, see col. 6, lines 60-63”. Office Action, p. 3. Examiner further indicated that “The pointer corresponds to the numerical value because the pointers LA and RA as disclosed by Watts is ‘an address, or a numerical index, ... Then, if we have an address, the system provides an operation to retrieve the value stored in the memory unit at that address. ... Accordingly said pointer corresponds to the numerical value of a number of pixels, and said deleting line corresponds to blanking pixels.” Office Action at 14.

In the interests of more particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which is regarded as the invention, Assignee has amended claim 51 to clarify that the first/second “numerical value” indicate “how many pixels are to be blanked out”. Emphasis Added. As Examiner noted “The pointer corresponds to the numerical value because the pointers LA and RA as disclosed by Watts is ‘an address, or a numerical index...’”. However, even “the pointers RA and LA” “indicate the memory location of the next desired row of characters”, they do not indicate “how many pixels are to be blanked out.”

Accordingly, Assignee respectfully requests that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 51 as anticipated by Watts.

Examiner has indicated that Kapur teaches “having a numerical value for indicating a number of pixels to be blanked out [the window is scrolled right one

cell, ADDR.BASE, ADDR.TOP and ADDR.BTM must be changed. Specifically, the number of pixels in a cell is added to each of these registers. This brings into view the characters K, L, O and P, see col. 5, lines 19-26. Thus the first plurality of graphics data correspond to the unviewed characters F, G, and J are not directly displayed and the viewed characters K, L, O and P are directly displayed, see Fig. 7, col. 5, lines 19-23] ...". Office Action, p. 6. Examiner also indicates that "Kapur further discloses a scrolling of W1 containing 16 pixels by a pointer 'ADDR.TOP' and the equation ADDJMP – B.Width – W.Width... Accordingly, said pointer corresponds to the numerical value a number of pixels, and said equations corresponds to the blanking pixel." OA at 15.

As noted above, Assignee has amended claim 51 to clarify that the first/second "numerical value" indicate "how many pixels are to be blanked out". Emphasis Added. Even if "Kapur further discloses a scrolling of W1 containing 16 pixels by a pointer 'ADDR.TOP'", the pointer ADDR.TOP does not indicated "how many pixels are to be blanked out."

Accordingly, Assignee respectfully request that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claim 51 as anticipated by Kapur, as well as to dependent claims 52-54 and 71. Claims 55 and 59 are also amended to recite "a first numerical value indicating how many pixels are to be blanked out" among other limitations." It is respectfully requested that Examiner withdraw the rejection to claims 55 and 59 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as anticipated by Kumar as well as to dependent claims 56-58, 60-62, 72, and 73.

Claim 74 is added and allowance for claim 74 is respectfully requested because neither Watts nor Kapur teach "receiving a window descriptor having a numerical value" and "blanking out a number of pixels of the plurality of graphics data, said number being equal to the numerical value".

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, each of the pending claims in the application are allowable, thereby placing the application in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, a notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account Number 13-0017.

RESPECTFULLY REQUESTED

January 3, 2007



Mirut Dalal  
Attorney for Assignee  
Reg. No. 44,052

McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.  
500 West Madison – Suite 3400  
Chicago, IL 60661

Phone (312) 775-8000  
FAX (312) 775-8100